

QUALITY-COST BASED EVALUATION (QCBE)

CRITERIA	SCORE/WEIGHT	CRITERIA	BASIS	POINT SYSTEM
Technical	25%	Technical Approach and Methodology	Description of the Methodology and Project management Plan (PMO) for Performing the Project	1 – Poor : inappropriate or poorly presented 2 – Satisfactory : generic approach 3 – Good : tailored-fit approach 4 – Very Good : innovative and efficient approach
	20%	Project Management Plan/ Work Plan	-do-	1 – Poor : lacks clarity and logic in the sequencing 2 – Satisfactory : key activities included but not detailed 3 – Good : all important activities are scheduled with appropriate timing and assignment outputs 4 – Very Good :decision points and the sequence and timing of activities are very well defined.
	5%	Organization and Staffing	-do-	1 – Poor : weak staffing plan 2 – Satisfactory : adequate organization 3 – Good : staff very well balance; consultant is locally available and technical personnel are easily accessible for foreign JV partners 4 – Very Good : integrated proposed team with extensive work experience in the pasts. Consultant is locally available and technical personnel are easily accessible, for foreign JV partners
	5%	Education <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highest Educational Attainment • Major Area (Finance, IT) • Other Courses (Management , etc) 	Curriculum Vitae of the Main Consultant or Primary Director, Coordinator or Team Leader to be assigned to the Project	1 – <i>Other Courses (Management, etc)</i> 2 – <i>Bachelor's Degree or with Minor Subject in Finance, IT or Risk</i> 3 – <i>Highest Educational Attainment is Master's in the Field of Finance, IT or Risk</i> 4 – <i>Highest Educational Attainment is Doctoral in the Field of Finance, IT, or Risk</i>
	55	Technical Training/ Certification <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No. of technical trainings attended/ certifications completed related to Finance or IT or Risk 	Certificate of Attendance/ Completion of Technical training/ Certifications (at least 2) submitted by the bidder/consultant-corporation for its Main Consultant or Primary Director, or Coordinator, or Team Leader to be assigned to the Project	1 – <i>received 2 certifications for technical training/certifications attended/completed on Finance, IT or Risk</i> 2 – <i>received 3 certifications for technical training/certifications attended/completed on Finance, IT or Risk</i> 3 – <i>received 4 certifications for technical training/certifications attended/completed on Finance, IT or Risk</i> 4 – <i>received more than 5 certifications for technical training/certifications attended/completed on Finance, IT or Risk</i>
Financial	40%	Financial Proposal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost 	Financial Proposal	4 – lowest bid price 3 – 2 nd lowest bid

		Effectiveness		2 – 3 rd lowest bid Note: in case only one or two bidders remain, "4" points for the lowest or sole bidder will be applied
--	--	---------------	--	--

Notes:

- Bidders shall be rated/evaluated by the AAIBP-TWG
- Final rating shall be the rating provided by the TWG as a group
- Each criteria shall be evaluated based on the inclusion of the requirement in the Technical Proposal

--OO--